

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

COPY

----- x
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

-v.- :

SELIM ZHERKA, :
a/k/a "Sam Zherka," :
a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," :

INDICTMENT
S2 14 Cr. 545 (CS)

Defendant. :
----- x

COUNTS ONE THROUGH FIVE

(False Loan Applications - The New England Apartments)

The Grand Jury charges:

1. At all times relevant herein, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, was a businessman based in Westchester County, New York, who bought and sold commercial real estate, and who owned and operated one or more night clubs featuring adult entertainment.

2. At all times relevant herein, North Fork Bank ("North Fork") was a financial institution, as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 20, the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. On or about December 1, 2006, North Fork was acquired by Capital One N.A., which, at all times relevant to this Indictment, was a financial institution, as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section

20, the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

3. During the period 2005 through 2008, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, and his associates applied to North Fork for commercial loans to finance their purchase of several New England apartment house complexes. In connection with their applications for those loans, ZHERKA and his associates submitted fraudulent contracts to North Fork wherein they grossly inflated the purchase price of the real estate they were acquiring and also grossly exaggerated to North Fork the amount of the downpayments they were making towards the purchases in question.

4. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, knowingly made false statements and reports, for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, upon an application, advance, purchase, purchase agreement, commitment, and loan, namely, ZHERKA made, and aided and abetted others to make, the following false statements to North Fork in order to obtain the following loans from North Fork, as set forth below:

Count	Purpose of Loan	Loan Amount	Date	False Statements to North Fork
1	to finance acquisition of Ridgeway Apartments, Waterbury Connecticut	\$5.4 million	2/27/06	ZHERKA falsely represented that the purchase price was \$7.2 million, when, as he well knew, it was \$5.280 million; ZHERKA grossly exaggerated the amount of the down payment he was making towards the purchase price.
2	to finance acquisition of Farmington Hills Apartments, New Britain, Connecticut	\$13 million	7/31/06	ZHERKA falsely represented that the purchase price was \$17.5 million, when, as he well knew, it was \$13.5 million; ZHERKA grossly exaggerated the amount of the down payment he was making towards the purchase price.
3	to finance acquisition of City Vue I, City Vue II, Concord, and Patton Apartments, Springfield, Massachusetts	\$10.125 million	6/21/07	ZHERKA falsely represented that the purchase price was \$13.5 million, when, as he well knew, it was \$9.7 million; ZHERKA grossly exaggerated the amount of the down payment he was making towards the purchase price.

4	to finance acquisition of New Colony Court Apartments, Springfield, Massachusetts	\$2.625 million	10/31/07	ZHERKA falsely represented that the purchase price was \$3.5 million, when, as he well knew, it was \$3.050 million; ZHERKA grossly exaggerated the amount of the down payment he was making towards the purchase price.
5	to finance acquisition of Marshall Apartments, Hartford, Connecticut	\$5.3625 million	2/28/08	ZHERKA falsely represented that the purchase price was \$7.15 million, when, as he well knew, it was \$5.75 million; ZHERKA grossly exaggerated the amount of the down payment he was making towards the purchase price.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1014 and 2.)

COUNT SIX

(False Loan Application - The Tennessee Apartments)

The Grand Jury further charges:

5. The allegations of paragraph 1 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

6. At all times relevant herein, Sovereign Bank ("Sovereign") was a financial institution, as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 20, the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

7. In or about 1996, a businessman ("the businessman") filed a lawsuit against SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant. In his complaint in that lawsuit, the businessman alleged that: a) ZHERKA had contracted to buy from him his ownership interest in a Manhattan nightclub; b) ZHERKA had failed to pay him in full under the contract; and c) on or about April 12, 1996, ZHERKA and others brutally assaulted him in the nightclub's basement offices. On or about July 15, 2000, after the case was tried before a jury, the businessman won a judgment against ZHERKA wherein he was awarded damages both for ZHERKA's breach of his contract with him and for ZHERKA's assault and battery upon him ("the New York State Judgment"). To date, ZHERKA has never paid the New York State Judgment.

8. During 2007, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, and his associates applied to Sovereign for a package of commercial loans totaling \$63.5 million to finance their purchase of several Tennessee apartment house complexes ("the Tennessee Apartments"). In connection with their application for those loans, ZHERKA and his associates submitted a fraudulent contract to Sovereign wherein they grossly inflated the purchase price of the real estate they were acquiring and also grossly exaggerated to Sovereign the amount of the downpayments

they were making towards the purchases in question. In connection with the same loan application ZHERKA lied to Sovereign about his assets, his income, his income tax returns, and the New York State Judgment.

9. From in or about January 2007, through on or about April 24, 2007, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, knowingly made false statements and reports, for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, upon an application, advance, purchase, purchase agreement, commitment, and loan, namely, ZHERKA made, and aided and abetted others to make, the following false statements to Sovereign in order to obtain the loan package for the acquisition of the Tennessee Apartments, as set forth below:

a) ZHERKA represented to Sovereign that the total purchase price of ten of the Tennessee Apartments was approximately \$77 million, when, in fact it was approximately \$66 million.

b) ZHERKA grossly exaggerated the amount of the downpayment he was making towards the purchase price of the Tennessee Apartments.

c) When asked by Sovereign officials to explain the New York State Judgment, ZHERKA stated that: "[the judgment creditor] was at my establishment, got drunk, left, [sic] my establishment and was severely hurt. I was sued, and he received a judgment in this amount. I clearly had nothing to do with it, but the jury thought that my establishment namely my employees should have been more responsible, and not allowed it to happen."

d) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign statements of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in the Farmington Hills Apartments valued at \$11 million, when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 50% interest in the complex valued at approximately \$5.6 million.

e) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign statements of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in the Ridgeway Apartments valued at between \$2.6 million and \$3 million, when, as ZHERKA well knew, he had no ownership interest in the property because he had sold the complex in August 2006.

f) ZHERKA submitted to Sovereign a statement of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 25% interest in the Bunker Hill Woods Apartments on Eastern Avenue in Waterbury, Connecticut valued at \$400,000, when, as ZHERKA well knew, he had

no ownership interest in the property because on January 16, 2007 he had "[f]or One Dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable and good consideration" relinquished all interest in that complex.

g) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign statements of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in a "retail, cabaret" business located at 20 West 20th Street, New York, New York valued at \$10 million, when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 73% interest in that business in 2006 and a 50% interest in it in 2007.

h) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign an IRS Form 1040 for 2004 with the understanding that the return he had provided was the same as or substantially similar to the one he had filed with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for the 2004 year, when in fact it was substantially different from the return he initially filed with the IRS for that year in that he reported far less total income on the return he initially filed with the IRS than on the return he submitted to the bank.

i) ZHERKA submitted to Sovereign an IRS Form 1040 for 2005 with the understanding that the return he had provided was the same as or substantially similar to the one he had filed with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for the 2005 year, when

in fact: ZHERKA had not filed a return with the IRS for the 2005 year when he submitted the 2005 return to Sovereign; ZHERKA did not file a return for the 2005 year with the IRS until months after Sovereign financed the conspirators' purchase of the Tennessee apartment house complexes; and the initial 2005 return ZHERKA submitted to Sovereign was substantially different from the return he initially filed with the IRS for that year in that he reported far less total income on the return he initially filed with the IRS than on the return he submitted to the bank.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1014 and 2.)

COUNT SEVEN

(False Loan Application - Refinance of Lodge North)

The Grand Jury further charges:

10. The allegations of paragraph 1 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

11. During 2007 and 2008, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, applied to Sovereign for a \$9.56 million loan to refinance an outstanding loan on Lodge North, one of the Tennessee apartment house complexes he had acquired in 2007. In connection with their application for that loan, ZHERKA made several false statements to Sovereign about his assets and income.

12. From in or about November 2007, through on or about May 23, 2008, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, knowingly made false statements and reports, for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, upon an application, advance, purchase, purchase agreement, commitment, and loan, namely, ZHERKA made, and aided and abetted others to make, the following false statements to Sovereign in order to obtain a loan to refinance an outstanding loan on Lodge North, as set forth below:

a) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign a statement of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in the Farmington Hills Apartments valued at \$7.5 million when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 50% interest in the complex valued at approximately \$3.75 million.

b) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign a statement of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in the Pheason [sic] Run Apartments, Hendersonville, Tennessee, valued at \$2.7 million when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 35% interest in the complex valued at approximately \$945 thousand.

c) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign a statement of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in Lodge North valued at \$4.7 million when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 35% interest in the complex valued at approximately \$1.645 million.

d) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign a statement of his assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in the New Colony Court Apartments valued at \$1.15 million when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 50% interest in the complex valued at approximately \$575 thousand.

e) ZHERKA submitted and caused to be submitted to Sovereign a statement of assets on which he claimed that he owned a 100% interest in a retail establishment at 20 West 20th Street in New York City when, as ZHERKA well knew, he owned only a 50% interest in that establishment.

f) ZHERKA further claimed on the same statement of assets in subparagraph e) above that the aforementioned retail establishment had yearly gross income of \$11 million when, as ZHERKA well knew, it had yearly gross income of only \$3.7 million.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1014 and 2.)

COUNT EIGHT

(Conspiracy to Obstruct IRS and Violate Tax Laws)

The Grand Jury further charges:

13. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 12 above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

Statutory Allegations

14. From in or about December 2005, through on or about at least April 27, 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, together with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, willfully and knowingly did combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together to defraud the United States and an agency thereof, to wit, the Internal Revenue Service of the United States Department of Treasury, and to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, violations of Title 26, United States Code, Sections 7206(1) and 7206(2).

Objects of the Conspiracy

15. It was a part and an object of the conspiracy that, during the period from in or about December 2005, through and including at least August 12, 2012, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly would and did defraud the United

States of America and the IRS by impeding, impairing, defeating and obstructing the lawful governmental functions of the IRS in the ascertainment, evaluation, assessment, and collection of income taxes.

16. It was further a part and object of the conspiracy that, during the period from in or about December 2005 through and including at least April 27, 2011, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly would and did make and subscribe certain Individual U.S. Income Tax Returns (IRS Forms 1040), and U.S. Partnership Returns (IRS Forms 1065) for the tax years 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, which returns contained and were verified by written declarations that they were made under the penalties of perjury, and which the defendant and others did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

17. It was further a part and object of the conspiracy that during the period from in or about December 2005 through and including at least April 27, 2011, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly would and did aid and assist in,

and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation and presentation under, and in connection with a matter arising under, the internal revenue laws, of a return, affidavit, claim, and other document, which was fraudulent and false as to a material matter, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

Means and Methods of the Conspiracy

18. The manner and means by which the conspiracy was sought to be accomplished included, among others, the following:

a. the defendant caused the preparation and filing of tax returns that substantially overstated the cost bases of, and substantially understated capital gains on the sales of, the Ridgeway, City Vue I, City Vue II, Concord, and Patton Apartments; and

b. the defendant caused the preparation and filing of tax returns that substantially overstated the cost bases of and the depreciation expense with respect to the aforesaid apartment house complexes and the Farmington Hill Apartments, and consequently overstated losses or understated profits from the operation of all of the aforesaid apartment house complexes.

Overt Acts

19. In furtherance of said conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy

Zherka," the defendant, and others known and unknown, committed the following overt acts in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere:

a. On or about October 7, 2008, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, filed a false U.S. Return of Partnership Income (IRS Form 1065) for Farmington Hills 06, LLC for the 2007 tax year, wherein he substantially overstated the cost basis of, and the depreciation expense with respect to, the Farmington Hills Apartments.

b. On or about December 14, 2009, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, filed a false U.S. Return of Partnership Income (IRS Form 1065) for Farmington Hills 06, LLC for the 2008 tax year, wherein he substantially overstated the cost basis of, and the depreciation expense with respect to, the Farmington Hills Apartments.

c. On or about August 28, 2012, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, filed a false Individual Tax Return (IRS Form 1040) for the 2010 tax year, wherein he overstated his Schedule E loss from the operation of Farmington Hills 06, LLC, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with that concern was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated.

d. On or about September 8, 2008, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, filed false U.S. Returns of Partnership Income (IRS Forms 1065) for Springfield I-07, LLC; Springfield II-07, LLC; Springfield III-07, LLC; and Springfield IV-07, LLC, for the 2007 tax year, wherein he substantially overstated the cost basis of, and the depreciation expense with respect to, the City Vue I, City Vue II, Concord, and Patton Apartments.

e. On or about November 16, 2009, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, filed false U.S. Returns of Partnership Income (IRS Forms 1065) for Springfield I-07, LLC; Springfield II-07, LLC; and Springfield III-07, LLC for the 2008 tax year, wherein he substantially overstated the cost basis of, and substantially understated the capital gains on the sales of, the City Vue I, City Vue II, and Concord Apartments.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.)

COUNTS NINE THROUGH EIGHTEEN

(Making/Subscribing False Tax Returns)

The Grand Jury further charges:

20. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 12 above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

21. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, willfully and knowingly did make and subscribe to false U.S. Returns of Partnership Interest (IRS Forms 1065) for the entities and tax years set forth below, which returns contained and were verified by written declarations that they were made under penalties of perjury, and which returns ZHERKA did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter:

Count	Date	Entity	Tax Year	False Statement(s)
9	10/07/08	Farmington Hills 06, LLC	2007	ZHERKA understated the income and overstated the loss from the operation of the entity, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Farmington Hills Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
10	12/14/09	Farmington Hills 06, LLC	2008	ZHERKA overstated the loss from the operation of the entity, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Farmington Hills Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.

11	9/8/08	Springfield I-07, LLC	2007	ZHERKA overstated the loss from the operation of the entity, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the City Vue I Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
12	9/8/08	Springfield II-07, LLC	2007	ZHERKA understated the income from the operation of the entity, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the City Vue II Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
13	9/8/08	Springfield III-07, LLC	2007	ZHERKA understated the income from the operation of the entity, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Concord Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
14	9/8/08	Springfield IV-07, LLC	2007	ZHERKA understated the income from the operation of the entity, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Patton Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
15	11/16/09	Springfield I-07, LLC	2008	ZHERKA overstated the cost basis of, and understated the capital gain on the sale of, the City Vue I Apartments, on the return.

16	11/16/09	Springfield II-07, LLC	2008	ZHERKA overstated the cost basis of, and understated the capital gain on the sale of, the City Vue II Apartments, on the return.
17	11/16/09	Springfield III-07, LLC	2008	ZHERKA overstated the cost basis of, and understated the capital gain on the sale of, the Concord Apartments, on the return.
18	04/27/10	Springfield IV-07, LLC	2010	ZHERKA overstated the cost basis of, and understated the capital gain on the sale of, the Patton Apartments, on the return.

(Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1)
and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.)

COUNTS NINETEEN THROUGH TWENTY-EIGHT

(Aiding/Assisting Preparation of False Tax Returns)

The Grand Jury further charges:

22. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 12 above
are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

23. On or about the dates set forth below, in the
Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a
"Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, willfully and
knowingly did aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise
the preparation and presentation under, the internal revenue laws,
returns, affidavits, claims, and other documents, namely, the tax

returns set forth below, which returns were fraudulent and false as to material matters:

Count	Date	Entity	Tax Year	False Statement(s)
19	10/7/08	Farmington Hills 06, LLC	2007	The loss from the operation of the entity was overstated and the income understated, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Farmington Hills Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
20	12/14/09	Farmington Hills 06, LLC	2008	The loss from the operation of the entity was overstated, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Farmington Hills Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
21	9/8/08	Springfield I-07, LLC	2007	The loss from the operation of the entity was overstated, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the City Vue I Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
22	9/8/08	Springfield II-07, LLC	2007	The income from the operation of the entity was understated, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the City Vue II Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.

23	9/8/08	Springfield III-07, LLC	2007	The income from the operation of the entity was understated, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Concord Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
24	9/8/08	Springfield IV-07, LLC	2007	The income from the operation of the entity was understated, to the extent that the depreciation expense in connection with the Patton Apartments was based on an inflated cost basis and was thus overstated, on the return.
25	11/16/09	Springfield I-07, LLC	2008	The cost basis of the City Vue I Apartments was overstated, and, consequently, the capital gain on the sale of those apartments was understated, on the return.
26	11/16/09	Springfield II-07, LLC	2008	The cost basis of the City Vue II Apartments was overstated, and, consequently, the capital gain on the sale of those apartments was understated, on the return.
27	11/16/09	Springfield III-07, LLC	2008	The cost basis of the Concord Apartments was overstated, and, consequently, the capital gain on the sale of those apartments was understated, on the return.
28	4/27/10	Springfield IV-07, LLC	2010	The cost basis of the Patton Apartments was overstated, and, consequently, the capital gain on the sale of those apartments was understated, on the return.

(Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).)

COUNT TWENTY-NINE

**(Attempts to Interfere with Administration
of Internal Revenue Laws)**

The Grand Jury further charges:

24. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 23 above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

25. From at least in or about April 2000, through and including the date of this Indictment, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, corruptly obstructed and impeded, and endeavored to obstruct and impede the due administration of the Internal Revenue Laws of the United States, by habitually failing to file Individual Tax Returns, filing false Individual and Partnership Tax returns, and aiding and assisting in the preparation of false Individual and Partnership tax returns.

(Title 26, United States Code, Section 7212(a) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2).

COUNTS THIRTY THROUGH THIRTY-THREE

(False Loan Applications to Signature Bank)

The Grand Jury further charges:

26. The allegations of Counts One through Five are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

27. At all times relevant herein, Signature Bank ("Signature") was a financial institution, as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 20, the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

28. On or about May 5, 2009, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, created the Zherka Family Irrevocable Trust ("the Trust") and assigned to the Trust, among other interests, the ownership of the following properties ("the Assigned Properties"): the Farmington Hills Apartments; the Marshall Apartments; 71 Caitlin Street, Meriden, Connecticut; 864 East 149th Street, Bronx, New York; 610 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York; 1342 St. Lawrence Avenue, Bronx, New York; and 230 East 173rd Street, Bronx, New York.

29. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, knowingly made false statements and reports, for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of an institution the accounts of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, upon an application, advance, purchase, purchase agreement, commitment, and loan, namely, ZHERKA made, and aided and abetted others to

make, the following false statements to Signature in order to obtain the following loans from Signature, as set forth below:

Count	Purpose of Loan	Loan Amount	Date	False Statements to Signature
30	to refinance 534 Broadway, Patterson, New Jersey	\$2.3 million	10/20/09	ZHERKA falsely represented that he owned one and more of the Assigned Assets.
31	to refinance the Farmington Hills Apartments, New Britain Connecticut	\$13.8 million	6/1/10	ZHERKA falsely represented that he owned one and more of the Assigned Assets, and falsely represented that he was the 100% owner of the borrower.
32	to refinance 71 Caitlin Street, Meriden, Connecticut	\$4.5 million	6/1/10	ZHERKA falsely represented that he owned one and more of the Assigned Assets, and falsely represented that he was the 100% owner of the borrower.
33	to refinance 610 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York	\$420,000	6/30/10	ZHERKA falsely represented that he owned one and more of the Assigned Assets.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1014 and 2.)

COUNT THIRTY-FOUR

(Wire Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

30. The allegations of paragraphs 1, 7, and 9(c) above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

31. From in or about 2000, through and including the date of this Indictment, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, having devised or intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud, and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, transmitted and caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, namely, ZHERKA knowingly schemed to defraud the businessman of the New York State Judgment and his right to recover the same, and in furtherance of that scheme sent and caused to be sent emails between White Plains, New York and Montvale, New Jersey.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.)

COUNT THIRTY-FIVE

(Witness Tampering)

The Grand Jury further charges:

32. On or about April 12, 2012, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant: 1) knowingly used intimidation, threatened, and corruptly persuaded another person, and attempted to do so, and engaged in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to influence, delay, and prevent the testimony of a person in an official proceeding and 2) corruptly obstructed, influenced, and impeded an official proceeding, and attempted to do so, namely, ZHERKA, with knowledge that the allegations in this Indictment were under investigation by a federal grand jury sitting in this district, that certain persons were and were likely to be witnesses before the grand jury, and that information that they provided and were likely to provide to federal agents assisting the grand jury would be conveyed to the grand jury, engaged in misleading conduct toward such persons with intent to influence their testimony before the grand jury, and otherwise corruptly obstructed, influenced, and impeded the grand jury's investigation and attempted to do so.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1512(b) and (c), and 2.)

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

(Allegations Relating to Counts One Through Seven and Thirty through Thirty-Three)

33. The allegations contained in Counts One through Seven and Thirty through Thirty-Three above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2.

34. Notice is hereby given to SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, that the United States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A) in the event of the defendant's conviction under Counts One through Seven.

35. SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, has property constituting and derived from, proceeds he obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of violations of Title 18, Section 1014, which interests are subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).

36. The property of SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), includes but is not limited to:

a) The proceeds of the North Fork loan for the purchase of the Ridgeway Apartments, namely, \$5.4 million;

b) The profit from the sale of the Ridgeway Apartments, namely, \$1.995 million;

c) The proceeds of the North Fork loan for the purchase of the Farmington Hills Apartments, namely, \$13 million;

d) The profit from the sale of the Farmington Hills Apartments, namely, \$5.5 million;

e) The proceeds of the Sovereign loans for the purchase of the Tennessee Apartments, namely, \$63.5 million;

f) The proceeds of the North Fork loan for the purchase of the City Vue I, City Vue II, Concord, and Patton Apartments, namely, \$10.125 million;

g) The profit from the resales of the City Vue I, City Vue II, Concord, and Patton Apartments, namely, \$7.710 million;

h) The proceeds of the North Fork loan for the purchase of the New Colony Court Apartments, namely, \$2.625 million;

i) The proceeds of the North Fork loan for the purchase of the Marshall Apartments, namely, \$5.3625 million; and

j) The proceeds of the Sovereign loan for the refinancing of the outstanding loan on the Lodge North Apartments, namely, \$9.56 million.

k) The proceeds of the Signature loan for the refinancing of 543 Broadway, namely, \$2.3 million.

l) The proceeds of the Signature loan for the refinancing of the Farmington Hills Apartments, namely, \$13.8 million.

m) The proceeds of the Signature loan for the refinancing of 71 Caitlin Street, namely, \$4.5 million.

n) The proceeds of the Signature loan for the refinancing of 610 Main Street, namely, \$420,000.

k) All real property traceable to the offenses set forth in Counts One through Seven and Thirty through Thirty-Three, including but not limited to:

- i) New Colony Court Apartments
154-164 Maple Street
Springfield, Massachusetts

- ii) Marshall Apartments
10 Marshall Street
Hartford, Connecticut
- iii) 4 Hageman Court
Katonah, New York
- iv) 864 East 149th Street
Bronx, New York
- v) 534 Broadway
Patterson, New Jersey
- vi) 71 Caitlin Street
Meriden, Connecticut
- vii) 610 Main Street
New Rochelle, New York

(Allegations Relating to Count Thirty-Four)

37. As the result of committing the offense alleged in Count Thirty-Four of this Indictment, SELIM ZHERKA, a/k/a "Sam Zherka," a/k/a "Sammy Zherka," the defendant, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, all property, real or personal, constituting or derived from proceeds traceable to the offense.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 981 and
Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.)

(Substitute Assets Provision)

38. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

d. has been substantially diminished in value; or

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; it is the intent of the United States to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the above forfeitable property, of at least \$146 million.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981, 982(b)(1) and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).)



Preet Bharara
PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney

United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

SELIM ZHERKA,
a/k/a "Sam Zherka,"
a/k/a "Sammy Zherka,"

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

S2 14 Cr. 545 (CS)

(In Violation of Title 18 , United States Code, Section 1014)
(In Violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371)
(In Violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1))
(In Violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206 (2))
(In Violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7212(a))
(In Violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343)
(In Violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1512(b) and (c))

PREET BHARARA

United States Attorney

Foreperson.